KINGDOM OF CAMBODIA
NATION RELEGION KING

សាកលវិទ្យាល័យ សៅស៍អ៉ីសថ៍អេយស្យៀ
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH-EAST ASIA

RUBRIC FOR DEBATING

Attribute/Dimension	Sore	Very Poor	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Very Good
1. Organization & Clarity: Main arguments and responses are outlined in a clear and orderly way.	20	[0.00-4.00]: Unclear and disorganized throughout	[4.10-8.00]: There are some unclear and disorganized throughout	[8.10-12.00]: Clear in some parts but not overall	[12.10-16.00]: Mostly clear and orderly in all parts	[16.10-20.00]: {Completely clear and orderly presentation
2. Use of Argument: Reasons are given to support the resolution	30	[0.00-6.00]: No real arguments given, or all arguments given had significant problems	[6.10-12.00]: Few real arguments given, or all arguments given had significant problems	[12.10-18.00]: Some decent arguments, but some significant problems	[18.10-24.00]: Many good arguments given, with only minor problems	[24.10-30.00]: Very strong and persuasive arguments given throughout
3. Use of cross-examination and rebuttal: Identification of weakness in opposing team's arguments and ability to defend itself against attack.	30	0.00-6.00]: Very poor cross-exam or rebuttals, failure to point out problems in opposing team's position or failure to defend itself against attack.	[6.10-12.00]: Poor cross-exam or rebuttals, failure to point out problems in opposing team's position or failure to defend itself against attack.	[12.10-18.00]: Decent cross-exam and/or rebuttals, but with some significant problems	[18.10-24.00]: Good cross-exam and rebuttals, with only minor slip-ups	[24.10-30.00]: Excellent cross-exam and defense against opposing team's objections
4. Presentation Style: Tone of voice, clarity of expression, precision of	20	[0.00-4.00]: Very few style features were used, none of them convincingly	[4.10-8.00]: Few style features were used, none of them convincingly	[8.10-12.00]: Few style features were used convincingly	[12.10-16.00]: Most style features were used convincingly	[16.10-20.00]: All style features were used convincingly

arguments all contribute to keeping audience's attention and persuading them of the team's case.					